

5457 Twin Knolls Road, Suite 101, Columbia, Maryland 21045

443-542-5810

Michael J. Balhoff / Managing Partner 410-984-8400 Fax 443-542-5811 balhoff@balhoffrowe.com

Robert C. Rowe/Senior Partner 406-461-4991 rowe@balhoffrowe.com Bradley P. Williams/Partner 704-582-2387 Fax 704-944-3162 bwilliams@balhoffrowe.com

FILED ELECTRONICALLY November 15, 2007

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Washington DC 20554

Re: WC Docket No 05-337, CC Docket No. 96-45 – Ex Parte Presentation

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On November 15, 2007, I had separate meetings with Ian Dillner, Legal Advisor to Chairman Martin; Scott Berman, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Adelstein; and, Chris Moore, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Tate. The attached document was discussed at each meeting. The meetings were held on behalf of CenturyTel.

Sincerely,

Robert C. Rowe Senior Partner

T3-6 Trown



Michael J. Balhoff, CFA Robert C. Rowe, JD Bradley P. Williams, JD



Perspectives on USF Growth

- CETC federal USF funding continues to expand rapidly
 - □ Total CETC funding grew by 97.6% from 2004 to 2005 to \$639 million, and then by 53.5% to \$980 million by 2006
 - Rural CETC funding grew 100.1% from 2004 to 2005 to \$316 million, and then by 66.5% in 2006 to \$526 million
- Rural ILEC funding is under pressure, as growth in 2005 was 0.6% and then -3.1% in 2006
- Thus the highest-cost parts of the network are receiving no new funding even as broadband investment requirements are rising

100.1% 97.6% Annual Growth in Federal CETC Funding v. Rural ILEC Funding

66.5%

53.5%

Rural ILEC funding Rural CETC funding Total CETC funding

0.6%

-3.1%

2005

2006

Source: USAC Annual Reports and HC05-HC09 appendices. Prepared by Balhoff, Rowe & Williams, LLC

Balhoff, Rowe Williams, LLC

CETC Rural Funding

- CETC funding in rural regions is growing faster than the total CETC funding
- No strict obligations exist to use the funding for investment
- Interstate Common Line Support, which is based in part on access replacement, is now nearly 40% of the total in spite of the fact that no access revenues were withdrawn from wireless companies
- Suggest matching wireless/CETC support with specific, appropriate outcomes

Rural CETC Funding					
	2004	2005	2006		
High cost loop	\$69,229,913	\$140,463,552	\$239,473,650		
Safety net	\$1,342,213	\$2,398,431	\$4,274,388		
Safety valve			\$745,008		
Local switching sup	\$35,714,571	\$56,420,758	\$84,101,214		
ICLS*	\$51,470,285	\$116,315,502	\$196,925,121		
	\$157 756 982	\$315 50R 243	\$525 519 381		

Growth in Rural CETC Funding				
	2005	2006		
High cost loop	1029%	70.5%		
Safety net	78.7%	78.2%		
Safety valve		NM		
Local switching support	58.0%	49.1%		
ICLS*	126.0%	69.3%		
	100 1%	66 5%		

Percent of Total Rural CETC Funding				
	2004	2005	2006	
High cost loop	43.9%	44.5%	45.6%	
Safety net	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%	
Safety valve	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	
Local switching sup	22.6%	17.9%	16.0%	
ICLS*	32.6%	36.9%	37.5%	
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

*2004 ICLS includes LTS

Source: USAC HC05-HC09 appendices; prepared by Balhoff, Rowe & Williams, LLC



USF Contraction for Large RLECs

2004-2006 "Growth" In Large Rural Company Federal USF Funding

CenturyTel Embarq Citizens

-12.3% -12.2%

-20.2%

Actual federal USF payments; prepared by Balhoff, Rowe & Williams, LLC.

- Largest rural carriers are reporting significant contraction in federal USF receipts
- Among explanations . . .
 - □ Increased efficiencies at companies with publicly-traded stock
 - Unanticipated effects of Rural Growth Factor as investment continues but the number of access lines contract